United States Patent Application

SUBSTITUTE REISSUE DECLARATION

As a below named inventor I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name;

I verily believe I am the original, first and joint inventor of the subject matter which is described and claimed in U.S. Patent No. 5,819,034 which was issued on October 6, 1998, and of the subject matter claimed in the broadening reissue patent application no. 09/672,523 filed on September 27, 2000 which reissue patent application corresponds to U.S. Patent No. 5,819,034, the specification of which was filed on September 27, 2000.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by the amendments filed on: October 31, 2000; April 13, 2001; April 29, 2002; September 4, 2002; February 27, 2003; March 18, 2003; November 10, 2003; December 15, 2003; October 6, 2004; May 2, 2005; August 6, 2007; May 29, 2008; and March 30, 2009.

I believe original patent 5,819,034 ("the '034 patent"), to be wholly or partly inoperative by reason of my claiming less than I had the right to claim in the patent. The claims of the '034 patent relate to a distributed computer system. For example, claim I recites a distributed computer system reciting, inter alia, a "further processor including means to . . . form an interactive video program in which execution of said distributed computing application alters said video program." However, the '034 patent also discloses a method and system that, stated generally, facilitate the presenting of data about an item being offered for sale to a user, and in response to a single action by the user, generating an order for the item. This invention is distinct from the invention claimed in the original patent; and is not in any way claimed in the issued claims of the '034 patent. The above quoted language of issued claim 1 is not necessary for patentability of claims drawn to the identified disclosed but unclaimed invention, and thus the presence of this limitation renders the '034 patent partly inoperative. This error is addressed in this reissue by eliminating limitations found in the issued claims, including the limitation from issued claim 1 of the '034 patent quoted above, and by including claims directed to methods and systems of facilitating ordering an item, where the order is placed in response to a single action by the user. In particular, the error is addressed by the presentation of claims 10-11, 13-24, 27, 33, 38-39, 41-43, 45-55, 57, 63, 260 and 262, drawn to this previously unclaimed invention.

Customer Number: 44367

I hereby authorize them to act and rely on instructions from and communicate directly with the person/assignee/attorney/firm/organization/who/which first sends/sent this case to them and by whom/which I hereby declare that I have consented after full disclosure to be represented unless/until I instruct Schwegman, Lundberg & Woessner, P.A. to the contrary.

Please direct all correspondence in this case to **Schwegman, Lundberg & Woessner, P.A. at** the address indicated below:

Customer Number, 44367

Full Name of joint inventor Citizenship: Post Office Address:	number 1: Kuriacose Joseph United States of America 16124 Orchard Grove Road	Residence: Gaithersburg, MD
Signature:	Gaithersburg, MD 20878	Date: 10/28/2011
)
Full Name of joint inventor Citizenship: Post Office Address:	number 2: Vincent Dureau France 3519 S. Court Palo Alto, CA 94306	Residence: Palo Alto, CA
Signature:		Date:
	Vincent Dureau	
Full Name of joint inventor	number 3: Alain Delpuch	
Citizenship:	France	Residence: Paris, France
Post Office Address:	36 rue Le Brun	
	Paris 75013	
	France	
Signature:	Alain Delpuch	Date:
	Alain Delpuch	

Full Name of joint inventor : Citizenship:	United States of America	Residence: Willingboro, NJ
Post Office Address:	22 Elmwood Lane Willingboro, NJ 08046	
Signature:	ansley Wayne Jessup	Date:

§ 1.56 Duty to disclose information material to patentability.

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
 - (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
 - (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application:
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
 - (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.

Attorney Docket No.2050.001US3

SCHWEGMAN ■ LUNDBERG ■ WOESSNER

United States Patent Application

SUBSTITUTE REISSUE DECLARATION

As a below named inventor I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name:

I verily believe I am the original, first and joint inventor of the subject matter which is described and claimed in U.S. Patent No. 5,819,034 which was issued on October 6, 1998, and of the subject matter claimed in the broadening reissue patent application no. 09/672,523 filed on September 27, 2000 which reissue patent application corresponds to U.S. Patent No. 5,819,034, the specification of which was filed on September 27, 2000.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by the amendments filed on: October 31, 2000; April 13, 2001; April 29, 2002; September 4, 2002; February 27, 2003; March 18, 2003; November 10, 2003; December 15, 2003; October 6, 2004; May 2, 2005; August 6, 2007; May 29, 2008; and March 30, 2009.

I believe original patent 5,819,034 ("the '034 patent"), to be wholly or partly inoperative by reason of my claiming less than I had the right to claim in the patent. The claims of the '034 patent relate to a distributed computer system. For example, claim I recites a distributed computer system reciting, inter alia, a "further processor including means to . . . form an interactive video program in which execution of said distributed computing application alters said video program." However, the '034 patent also discloses a method and system that, stated generally, facilitate the presenting of data about an item being offered for sale to a user, and in response to a single action by the user, generating an order for the item. This invention is distinct from the invention claimed in the original patent; and is not in any way claimed in the issued claims of the '034 patent. The above quoted language of issued claim 1 is not necessary for patentability of claims drawn to the identified disclosed but unclaimed invention, and thus the presence of this limitation renders the '034 patent partly inoperative. This error is addressed in this reissue by eliminating limitations found in the issued claims, including the limitation from issued claim 1 of the '034 patent quoted above, and by including claims directed to methods and systems of facilitating ordering an item, where the order is placed in response to a single action by the user. In particular, the error is addressed by the presentation of claims 10-11, 13-24, 27, 33, 38-39, 41-43, 45-55, 57, 63, 260 and 262, drawn to this previously unclaimed invention.

Customer Number: 44367

I hereby authorize them to act and rely on instructions from and communicate directly with the person/assignee/attorney/firm/organization/who/which first sends/sent this case to them and by whom/which I hereby declare that I have consented after full disclosure to be represented unless/until I instruct Schwegman, Lundberg & Woessner, P.A. to the contrary.

Please direct all correspondence in this case to Schwegman, Lundberg & Woessner, P.A. at the address indicated below:

Customer Number, 44367

Full Name of joint inventor number 1: Kuriacose Joseph Citizenship: United States of America Post Office Address: 16124 Orchard Grove Road Gaithersburg, MD 20878	Residence: Gatthersburg, MD
Signature: Kuriacose Joseph	Date:
Full Name of joint inventor number 2: Vincent Dureau Citizenship: France 3519 S. Court Palo Alto, CA 94306 Signature: Vincent Dureau	Residence: Palo Alto, CA Date: 2 1/0 [2
Full Name of joint inventor number 3 : Alain Delpuch Citizenship: France Post Office Address: 36 rue Le Brun Paris 75013 France Signature: Alain Delpuch	Residence: Paris, France Date:

Attorney Docket No.: 2050.001US3 Serial No. 09/672,523 Filing Date: September 27, 2000 Page 3 of 4

Full Name of joint inventor numb Citizenship: Post Office Address:	oer 4: <u>Ansley Wayne Jessup</u> United States of America 22 Elmwood Lane Willingboro, NJ 08046	Residence: Willingboro, NJ	
Signature:Ansley	y Wayne Jessup	Date:	

Attorney Docket No.: 2050.001US3 Serial No. 09/672,523 Filing Date: September 27, 2000 Page 4 of 4

§ 1.56 Duty to disclose information material to patentability.

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending clam until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any claim existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of a patentability of a patentability of any claim is succeeded by the patentability of a patentability of a patentability of a patentability of a patentability of any claim is succeeded to patentability and the patentability of a patentability and the patentability of a patentability and the patentability of a pat
 - (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
 - (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
 - (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application:
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
 - (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.

SCHWEGMAN ■ LUNDBERG ■ WOESSNER

United States Patent Application

As a below named inventor I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name;

I verily believe I am the original, first and joint inventor of the subject matter which is described and claimed in U.S. Patent No. 5,819,034 which was issued on October 6, 1998, and of the subject matter claimed in the broadening reissue patent application no. 09/672,523 filed on September 27, 2000 which reissue patent application corresponds to U.S. Patent No. 5,819,034, the specification of which was filed on September 27, 2000.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by the amendments filed on: October 31, 2000; April 13, 2001; April 29, 2002; September 4, 2002; February 27, 2003; March 18, 2003; November 10, 2003; December 15, 2003; October 6, 2004; May 2, 2005; August 6, 2007; May 29, 2008; and March 30, 2009.

I believe original patent 5,819,034 ("the '034 patent"), to be wholly or partly inoperative by reason of my claiming less than I had the right to claim in the patent. The claims of the '034 patent relate to a distributed computer system. For example, claim I recites a distributed computer system reciting, inter alia, a "further processor including means to ..., form an interactive video program in which execution of said distributed computing application alters said video program." However, the '034 patent also discloses a method and system that, stated generally, facilitate the presenting of data about an item being offered for sale to a user, and in response to a single action by the user, generating an order for the item. This invention is distinct from the invention claimed in the original patent; and is not in any way claimed in the issued claims of the '034 patent. The above quoted language of issued claim 1 is not necessary for patentability of claims drawn to the identified disclosed but unclaimed invention, and thus the presence of this limitation renders the '034 patent partly inoperative. This error is addressed in this reissue by eliminating limitations from issued claim 1, including the limitation from issued claim 1 of the '034 patent puded above, and by including claims directed to methods and systems of facilitating ordering an item, where the order is placed in response to a single action by the user. In particular, the error is addressed by the presentation of claims 10-11, 13-24, 27, 33, 38-39, 41-43, 45-55, 57, 63, 26, and 262, drawn to this previously unclaimed invention.



Customer Number: 44367

I hereby authorize them to act and rely on instructions from and communicate directly with the person/assignee/attorney/firm/organization/who/which first sends/sent this case to them and by whom/which I hereby declare that I have consented after full disclosure to be represented unless/until I instruct Schwegman, Lundberg & Woessner, P.A. to the contrary.

Please direct all correspondence in this case to Schwegman, Lundberg & Woessner, P.A. at the address indicated below:

Customer Number, 44367

Full Name of joint inventor Citizenship: Post Office Address:	number 1: <u>Kuriacose Joseph</u> United States of America 16124 Orchard Grove Road Gaithersburg, MD 20878	Residence: Gaithersburg, MD
Signature:	Kuriacose Joseph	Date:
Full Name of joint inventor Citizenship: Post Office Address:	rnumber 2: Vincent Dureau France 3519 S. Court Palo Alto, CA 94306	Residence: Palo Alto, CA
Signature:	Vincent Durcau	Date:
	Vincent Dureau	
Full Name of joint inventor Citizenship: Post Office Address:	rnumber 3 : Alain Delpuch France 36 rue Le Brun Paris 75013	Residence: Paris, France
Signature:	Erance Delpuch	Date: Oct 28th, 2011

Full Name of joint inventor number 4: Ansley Wayne Jessup

Citizenship: Post Office Address:	United States of America 22 Elmwood Lane Willingboro, NJ 08046	Residence: Willingboro, NJ	
Signature:	Ansley Wayne Jessup	Date:	

§ 1.56 Dury to disclose information material to patentability.

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teaching call information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration on the beautiful of the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §\$1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bud faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
 - (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
 - (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application:
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
 - (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.



United States Patent Application

SUBSTITUTE REISSUE DECLARATION

As a below named inventor I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name;

I verily believe I am the original, first and joint inventor of the subject matter which is described and claimed in U.S. Patent No. 5,819,034 which was issued on October 6, 1998, and of the subject matter claimed in the broadening reissue patent application no. 09/672,523 filed on September 27, 2000 which reissue patent application corresponds to U.S. Patent No. 5,819,034, the specification of which was filed on September 27, 2000.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by the amendments filed on: October 31, 2000; April 13, 2001; April 29, 2002; September 4, 2002; February 27, 2003; March 18, 2003; November 10, 2003; December 15, 2003; October 6, 2004; May 2, 2005; August 6, 2007; May 29, 2008; and March 30, 2009.

I believe original patent 5,819,034 ("the '034 patent"), to be wholly or partly inoperative by reason of my claiming less than I had the right to claim in the patent. The claims of the '034 patent relate to a distributed computer system. For example, claim I recites a distributed computer system reciting, inter alia, a "further processor including means to . . . form an interactive video program in which execution of said distributed computing application alters said video program." However, the '034 patent also discloses a method and system that, stated generally, facilitate the presenting of data about an item being offered for sale to a user, and in response to a single action by the user, generating an order for the item. This invention is distinct from the invention claimed in the original patent; and is not in any way claimed in the issued claims of the '034 patent. The above quoted language of issued claim 1 is not necessary for patentability of claims drawn to the identified disclosed but unclaimed invention, and thus the presence of this limitation renders the '034 patent partly inoperative. This error is addressed in this reissue by climinating limitations found in the issued claims, including the limitation from issued claim 1 of the '034 patent quoted above, and by including claims directed to methods and systems of facilitating ordering an item, where the order is placed in response to a single action by the user. In particular, the error is addressed by the presentation of claims 10-11, 13-24, 27, 33, 38-39, 41-43, 45-55, 57, 63, 260 and 262, drawn to this previously unclaimed invention.

Customer Number: 44367

I hereby authorize them to act and rely on instructions from and communicate directly with the person/assignee/attorney/firm/organization/who/which first sends/sent this case to them and by whom/which I hereby declare that I have consented after full disclosure to be represented unless/until I instruct Schwegman, Lundberg & Woessner, P.A. to the contrary.

Please direct all correspondence in this case to Schwegman, Lundberg & Woessner, P.A. at the address indicated below:

Customer Number. 44367

Full Name of joint inventor nu Citizenship: Post Office Address:	mber 1: <u>Kuriacose Joseph</u> United States of America 16124 Orchard Grove Road Gaithersburg, MD 20878	Residence: Gaithersburg, MD	
Signature:	riacose Joseph	Date:	
Kur	iacose Joseph		_
Full Name of joint inventor nu Citizenship: Post Office Address:	mber 2 : <u>Vincent Dureau</u> France 3519 S. Court	Residence: Palo Alto, CA	
	Palo Alto, CA 94306		
Signature:Vin	cent Dureau	Date:	
			_
Full Name of joint inventor nu	mber 3: Alain Delpuch		
Citizenship:	France	Residence: Paris, France	
Post Office Address:	36 rue Le Brun		
	Paris 75013		
	France		
Signature:	in Delpuch	Date:	
Ala	in Delmich		

Full Name of joint inventor number 4: Ansley Wayne Jessup

Citizenship: Post Office Address: United States of America 22 Elmwood Lane Willingboro, NJ 08046 Residence: Willingboro, NJ

signature: *OnslyWWW*

Date: Sept. 28, 2012

§ 1.56 Duty to disclose information material to patentability.

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pendical muttil the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
 - (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
 - (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application:
 - Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
 - (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.